

Research Article

In Vitro Antibacterial Activity of Ethanolic Extract of Aloe Vera and Silver Nanoparticles on Standard Strains of Some Pathogenic Bacteria

Abolfazl Jafari-Sales^{1*} , Parisa Meshinchi² , Ali Shabkhosh³ 

1. Department of Microbiology School of Basic Sciences, Kazerun Branch, Islamic Azad University, Kazerun, Iran; ORCID ID:0000-0002-5710-4076

2. Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran; ORCID ID: 0000-0002-1386-9632

3. Department of Microbiology School of Basic Sciences, Ahar Branch, Islamic Azad University, Ahar, Iran; ORCID ID: 0000-0003-0303-5834

*Correspondence: Abolfazl Jafari-Sales, Department of Microbiology School of Basic Sciences, Kazerun Branch, Islamic Azad University, Kazerun, Iran

Email: A.jafari_1392@yahoo.com

Received September 11, 2021

Accepted November 6, 2021

ABSTRACT

Background and objectives: Today, with the increasing rate of antibiotic resistance, treatment of bacterial infections has become challenging. Therefore, it is essential to find suitable alternative antibacterial compounds. The aim of this study was to investigate in vitro effects of silver nanoparticles and ethanolic extract of Aloe vera alone and combined on standard strains of some pathogenic bacteria.

Methods: After collection and verification of A. vera plants, extraction was performed by the Soxhlet extractor method. Antibacterial effects of ethanolic extract of A. vera and silver nanoparticles on standard strains of Staphylococcus aureus, Bacillus cereus, Escherichia coli and Pseudomonas aeruginosa were investigated by agar well diffusion and tube dilution methods, respectively.

Results: The ethanolic extract of A. vera and silver nanoparticles had antibacterial effects on the tested bacteria in a dose-dependent manner. The ethanolic extract of A. vera was more effective against S. aureus and B. cereus compared to gram-negative bacteria. However, silver nanoparticles were more effective against gram-negative bacteria (P. aeruginosa and E. coli). The effect of combination of ethanolic extract of A. vera and silver nanoparticles was much greater than the effect of either alone. This combination showed the greatest and lowest effect on P. aeruginosa and S. aureus, respectively.

Conclusion: For the first time, this study showed that the combination of ethanolic extract of A. vera and silver nanoparticles is effective against potentially pathogenic bacteria. Given the high rate of antibiotic resistance and side effects of conventional antibiotics, it is recommended to identify active compounds of this plant and evaluate the antimicrobial effects of this combination of fungi and other pathogenic bacteria both in vitro and in vivo.

Keywords: Antibacterial susceptibility; Aloe vera; Nanoparticles; Silver; Plant extract

DOI: 10.29252/Jcbr.5.4.22



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.

© The authors

Introduction

In recent years, the excessive use of synthetic antibiotics in the treatment of bacterial diseases has led to increased rate of antibiotic resistance (1). The use of herbal medicine has a long history in different societies. Such natural medicines have limited side effects and are compatible with genetic and physiological factors of the body (2,3). Plant extracts and essential oils are important sources of natural medicinal compounds that have antimicrobial, antioxidant, free radical scavenging, and anti-cancer properties, which have attracted the attention of scientists in recent years (4,5). Therefore, many studies have been performed on evaluating the antimicrobial (6), anti-parasitic (7), antiviral (8), cytotoxic (9) and mutagenic (10) effects of plant extracts. Aloe vera belongs to the genus Aloe, order Asparagales and Family Asphodelaceae (11). This plant is suitable for subtropical climates and grows in different countries as well as in southern parts of Iran (such as Bushehr, Hormozgan and Balochestan provinces) (12,13). A. vera leaves can be divided into two main parts: the outer green shell, which contains the vascular bundles, and the inner colorless parenchyma (pulp), which contains the A. vera gel. The leaves of this plant contain more than 75 nutrients, 200 active compounds, 20 minerals, 18 amino acids, and 12 vitamins. It also contains various compounds such as acetylated mannans, anthraquinone C-glycosides, anthrones, and anthraquinones such as emodin and lectins (14). The immunostimulatory, antioxidant, antiviral, anti-fungal, anti-cancer, and anti-bacterial effects of this plant have been reported in various studies (15,16). This medicinal plant is useful for the treatment of arthritis, asthma, chronic fatigue syndrome, indigestion and intestinal disorders, skin diseases, epilepsy, migraine, mild burns, skin injuries, acne, diabetes, high cholesterol, and inflammation of the oral mucosa (17-21).

Today, nanotechnology is considered as a novel approach to fight microbes without

increasing drug resistance. Extensive research has been conducted on the application of nanoparticles in prevention and treatment of diseases (22). In this regard, silver nanoparticles have been commonly used due to their antimicrobial properties, low price, and simple preparation (23). This study was performed to investigate the in vitro antimicrobial and synergistic effects of ethanolic extract of A. vera leaves and silver nanoparticles on some standard bacterial strains.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collection and preparation of ethanolic extract

In this experimental study, A. vera plant was collected from natural cultivation areas in Marand (northwestern Iran). The plant was identified by botanical experts at the Islamic Azad University of Ahar, Iran. The plant was washed several times with water and then shade-dried. For ease of extraction, the samples were pulverized with an electric mill. Extraction was performed by the Soxhlet method. For this purpose, 60 g of dried plant powder and 300 ml of ethanol as a solvent were placed in a Soxhlet extractor for 8 hours. The solvent was slowly evaporated at temperature of 40 °C using a rotary evaporator. The obtained concentrated extract was diluted to 20, 30, 50 and 400 mg/ml using 5% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (Merck, Germany) (24).

Preparation of bacterial strains

Standard strains of *Bacillus cereus* (AT47C: 1247), *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC: 25923), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (ATCC: 27853), and *Escherichia coli* (ATCC: 25922) were obtained from the Tabriz University of Medical Sciences. To activate the bacteria, first lyophilized cultures were grown in Tryptic Soy Broth (TSB) (Merck, Germany) at 37 °C twice for 18 consecutive hours. Equal volumes of each bacterial suspension were prepared to form an absorption surface equivalent to 0.5 McFarland standard at 600 nm. Turbidity of

the bacterial suspension was proportional to 1.5×10^8 CFU/ml (25).

Determination of the antibacterial effect of *A. vera* extract by agar well diffusion Due to the diversity of the studied bacteria, Mueller-Hinton medium (Merck Co., Germany) was used. Bacterial suspensions with turbidity equivalent to 0.5 McFarland standard were prepared and cultured on Mueller-Hinton agar, in three directions using sterile swap. Then, using a sterile Pasteur pipette, wells with a diameter of 6 mm and a distance of 2.5 cm were created on the agar surface of each plate. Then, 60 μ l of different concentrations of the ethanolic extract of *A. vera* were poured into each well. In addition, DMSO and streptomycin were used as the negative and positive controls, respectively. The plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 hours. Next, the mean diameter of the growth inhibition zone was calculated in millimeters to determine antimicrobial activity of the extracts in comparison with the positive and negative control samples.

Determination of minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC)

The MIC and MBC of ethanolic extract of *A. vera* against the tested bacteria were determined using the macrodilution broth method. To determine the MIC values, 6.25, 12.5, 25, 50, 100 and 200 mg/ml dilutions of the extract were prepared using Mueller Hinton broth. Then, 1 ml of bacterial suspension was added to each dilution. A tube containing only bacteria (without extract) and another containing culture medium (without bacteria) were considered as the positive and negative controls, respectively. The tubes were incubated at 37 °C for 24 hours. Next, the tubes were examined for turbidity due to the growth of inoculated bacteria, and the last dilution in which no turbidity was observed (no growth) was considered as the MIC. All tubes in which no bacterial growth was observed were sampled and MBC was determined by culture on agar plates. The plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 hours. The lowest concentration of the extract

which completely inhibited the growth of bacteria was considered as the MBC (26).

Determination of antimicrobial effect of silver nanoparticles by agar well diffusion method Silver nanoparticles in dimensions of 20 nm were purchased from the Nano Sany Engineers Company (Iran). Serial dilutions of 10, 20, 40 and 80 μ g/ml were prepared. The MIC and MBC values of silver nanoparticles were determined using the well diffusion method as explained previously (27).

Evaluation of synergistic properties To investigate the synergistic properties of silver nanoparticles and ethanolic extract of *A. vera* on the selected pathogenic bacteria, the dilution series mentioned in the previous two tests were mixed and used as a concentration. The test procedure was the same as the previous tests.

Statistical analysis

The experiments were repeated five times and the results were reported as mean \pm standard deviation (SD). Differences in the obtained results were assessed using analysis of variance and the Chi-square test. Statistical significance level was set at 0.05.

RESULTS

The ethanolic extract of *A. vera* had the greatest inhibitory effect on *S. aureus* and *B. cereus*. However, the extract had little or no inhibitory effects on the tested gram-negative bacteria (Table 1). Concentrations of 400 mg/ml ethanolic extract showed slight inhibitory effect on *E. coli*. There were significant differences between the tested bacteria in terms of sensitivity to the *A. vera* ethanolic extract ($p < 0.05$). In other words, *S. aureus* and *P. aeruginosa* had the highest and lowest sensitivity to the extract, respectively.

Table 1. Diameter of growth inhibition zone and MBC and MIC values of the ethanolic extract of *A. vera* against the tested bacteria

Concentration (mg/ml)	Growth inhibition zone values (mm)						MIC (mg/ml)	MBC (mg/ml)
	20	30	50	400	Negative control	Positive control		
<i>S. aureus</i>	8.8±0.83	10.8±0.83	12.4±0.54	18.8±0.83	--	17	6.25	12.5
<i>B. cereus</i>	6.4±1.14	9±0.70	11.2±0.83	16.4±0.89	--	18	12.5	25
<i>E. coli</i>	--	--	7.2±0.83	10±0.70	--	14	50	100
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	--	--	--	7±1.41	--	15	--	--

Silver nanoparticles had greater inhibitory effects on gram-negative bacteria compared to gram-positive bacteria. This inhibitory effect was dose-dependent. As shown in (table 2), silver nanoparticles had the greatest and lowest inhibitory effect on *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus*, respectively.

Table 2. Diameter of growth inhibition zone and MBC and MIC values of silver nanoparticles against the tested bacteria

Concentration (µg/ml)	Growth inhibition zone values (mm)					MIC (mg/ml)	MBC (mg/ml)	
	10	20	40	80	Negative control			Positive control
<i>S. aureus</i>	8.6±0.54	10.2±1.09	12.6±0.54	14.4±1.14	-	18	50	100
<i>B. cereus</i>	9.2±1.09	11.8±0.83	13.2±0.83	15.2±1.09	-	17	50	50
<i>E. coli</i>	10±1.22	12.2±0.44	14.2±0.83	17.2±0.83	-	14	12.5	25
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	11.4±0.89	13.4±0.54	16±0.70	19.6±0.89	-	15	6.25	12.5

The combination of silver nanoparticles with the ethanolic extract of *A. vera* caused a significant increase in the diameter of growth inhibition zone, particularly in case of gram-negative bacteria. In addition, this combination significantly reduced the MIC

and MBC values against the tested bacteria compared to the nanoparticles and the extract alone (p<0.05). The combination had the greatest and least inhibitory effects on *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus*, respectively (Table 3).

Table 3. Diameter of growth inhibition zone and MBC and MIC values of silver nanoparticles combined with the ethanolic extract of *A. vera*

Concentration	Growth inhibition zone diameter of ethanolic extract (A) and silver nanoparticles (B)						MIC (A,B) (mg/ml)	MBC (A,B) (mg/ml)
	20mg/ml(A) +10µg/ml(B)	30mg/ml(A) +20µg/ml(B)	50mg/ml(A) +40µg/ml(B)	400mg/ml(A) +80µg/ml(B)	Negative control	Positive control		
<i>S. aureus</i>	9.2±1.09	10.8±0.83	13.4±10.54	15.8±0.44	-	20	6.25 µg/ml , 6.25 mg/ml	25 µg/ml , 25 mg/ml
<i>B. cereus</i>	10.2±1.09	12±1	14.8±0.83	16.4±0.54	-	19	6.25 µg/ml , 6.25 mg/ml	12.5 µg/ml , 12.5 mg/ml
<i>E. coli</i>	11.8±0.83	12.8±0.44	15.4±0.54	17.8±0.83	-	18	6.25 µg/ml , 6.25 mg/ml	12.5 µg/ml , 12.5 mg/ml
<i>P. eruginosa</i>	13.8±0.83	15.4±0.54	17.2±1.09	20.4±0.89	-	17	3.125 µg/ml , 3.125 mg/ml	6.25 µg/ml , 6.25 mg/ml

DISCUSSION

In Iran, a limited number of studies has investigated the antimicrobial properties of *A. vera* against pathogenic bacteria. Olaleye and Bello-Michael (2005) reported that *A. vera* leaf and gel are effective against *Pseudomonas* and *Candida albicans*, which is not consistent with the results of our study (28). However, in line with our findings, Abraham et al. reported that *A. vera* extract exert significant, dose-dependent antimicrobial effects on *S. aureus* (29). George et al. reported the favorable inhibitory effects of *A. vera* toothpaste gel on *C. albicans*, *Streptococcus mutans*, *Lactobacillus acidophilus*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Prevotella intermedia*, and *Peptostreptococcus anaerobius* (30). Martinez et al. reported that the aqueous extract of *A. vera* leaves had no antimicrobial activity (31). Goudarzi and colleagues reported that *A. vera* gel had antibacterial activity against *P. aeruginosa* isolated from burn infections (32). Sadrnia et al. demonstrated that 17.85 mg/ml of *A. vera* extract inhibited growth of clinical strains of *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, *S. aureus*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, and *E. coli* (33). The difference in the reported values is due to the different extraction methods and different strains used in the experiments (28). In 2010, Anderl et al. showed that both *A. vera* gel and leaf inhibit the growth of *S. aureus* isolates from wound infection, but *A. vera* gel had no effect on *P. aeruginosa* (34).

There are inconsistencies regarding the effectiveness of *A. vera* extract in different solvents. Irshad et al. showed that *A. vera* methanolic extract has the highest antibacterial activity compared to ethanolic and aqueous extract (35). Ibrahim et al. (2011) showed that the antibacterial and antifungal activity of Estonian extract was higher than that of aqueous and ethanolic extracts (36). Pandey and Mishra investigated the antibacterial properties of *A. vera* extract on pathogenic bacteria and reported that the aqueous extract had no inhibitory effect on gram-negative bacteria and had weak inhibitory effect on gram-

positive bacteria (37). These inconsistencies may be related to difference in solubility and components of the *A. vera*, extract, especially in cases of solvents with specific antifungal or antimicrobial activity. In the present study, silver nanoparticles had the greatest effect on *P. aeruginosa* and the least effect on *S. aureus*. In 2014, Asadi et al. found that the inhibitory effects of silver nanoparticles on *E. coli* were greater than those on *S. aureus*. They also showed that the type of bacteria, contact time, and concentration of silver nanoparticles are effective factors in the antimicrobial properties of silver nanoparticles (38), which is in line with our findings. Cho et al. reported 5 and 10 ppm as the lowest inhibitory concentrations for *S. aureus* and *E. coli*, respectively. However, concentrations of 50 ppm for *S. aureus* and 100 ppm for *E. coli* were found to be fatal (39). Kim et al. reported that silver nanoparticles with a diameter of 20 nm could inhibit the growth of *S. aureus* (40). Feng et al. showed that *E. coli* is inhibited at a lower concentration of silver nanoparticles compared with *S. aureus*. This may be due to the presence of a thicker peptidoglycan layer in the cell wall of *S. aureus* (41). In 2007, Pal et al. showed that silver nanoparticles produced by non-biological methods have different antibacterial effects against *E. coli* (42). Ruparelia et al. examined the antibacterial properties of silver and copper nanoparticles and showed that *E. coli* was more resistant to both nanoparticles than *S. aureus*, but this difference was greater in case of silver nanoparticles (43). Differences in the findings of our study and previous studies could be due to nanoparticle concentrations, microbial strains, and nanoparticle production methods. Many sources have shown that the lethal effect of silver nanoparticles is due to their simultaneous action on the wall, their ability to penetrate the cytoplasmic membrane, and their effect on the cellular respiratory chain of RNA and DNA. These structures are the same in gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria.

Therefore, the antibacterial properties of silver nanoparticles are somewhat similar for both groups of bacteria (44).

CONCLUSION

For the first time, this study showed that the combination of ethanolic extract of *A. vera* and silver nanoparticles is effective against potentially pathogenic bacteria. Given the high rate of antibiotic resistance and side effects of conventional antibiotics, it is recommended to identify active compounds of this plant and evaluate the antimicrobial effects of this combination of fungi and other pathogenic bacteria both in vitro and in vivo.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank the personnel of Microbiology Laboratory of Islamic Azad University of Ahar, Iran.

DECLARATIONS

Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Ethics approvals and consent to participate

The study protocol was approved by the Islamic Azad University, Ahar branch, Iran.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding publication of this article

References

1. Adwan G, Abu-Shanab B, Adwan K. Antibacterial activities of some plant extracts alone and in combination with different antimicrobials against multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strains. *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Medicine*. 2010;3(4):266-9. [[View at Publisher](#)] [[DOI](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]

2. Jafari-Sales A, Shahniani A, Fathi R, Malekzadeh P, Mobaiyen H, Bonab FR.

Evaluation of Antibacterial Activity of Essential Oil of *Ziziphora clinopodioides* and *Achillea wilhelmsii* on Antibiotic-resistant Strains of *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Internal Medicine and Medical Investigation Journal*. 2017;2(2):49-56. [[View at Publisher](#)] [[DOI](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]

3. Mobaiyen H, Jafari Sales A, Sayyahi J. Evaluating Antimicrobial Effects of *Centaurea* Plant's Essential Oil on Pathogenic Bacteria: *Staphylococcus Aureus*, *Staphylococcus Epidermidis*, and *Escherichia Coli* Isolated from Clinical Specimens. *Journal of Fasa University of Medical Sciences*. 2016;5(4):479-87. [[View at Publisher](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]

4. Hussain AI, Anwar F, Sherazi ST, Przybylski R. Chemical composition, antioxidant and antimicrobial activities of basil (*Ocimum basilicum*) essential oils depends on seasonal variations. *Food chemistry*. 2008 Jun 1;108(3):986-95. [[View at Publisher](#)] [[DOI](#)] [[PMID](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]

5. Jafari-Sales A, Bagherizadeh Y, Malekzadeh P, Ahmadi B, Bonab F. Evaluation of the Antimicrobial Effects of Essential Oil of *Reseda Lutea* L. on Pathogenic Bacteria: *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, and *Escherichia coli*. *Archives of Clinical Microbiology*. 2017;8(3):1-6. [[View at Publisher](#)] [[DOI](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]

6. Sales AJ. Evaluation of antibacterial activity of ethanol extract of *Lavandula Stoechas* L. plant on antibiotic-resistant strains Of *Staphylococcus Aureus*. *Journal of Current Research in Science*. 2014 Nov 1;2(6):641-5 [[Google Scholar](#)]

7. Khalid KA, EL-Ghorab AH. The effect of presowing low temperature on essential oil content and chemical composition of

Calendula officinalis. Journal of Essential Oil Bearing Plants. 2006;9(1):32-41. [[View at Publisher](#)] [[DOI](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]

8. Naqvi S, Khan M, Vohora S. Anti-bacterial, anti-fungal and anthelmintic investigations on Indian medicinal plants. *Fitoterapia*. 1991;62:221-8.

9. Sundar Rao K. Antibacterial activity of some medicinal plants of Papua New Guinea. *International journal of pharmacognosy*. 1996;34(3):223-5. [[View at Publisher](#)] [[DOI](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]

10. Vlietinck A, Van Hoof L, Totte J, Lasure A, Berghe DV, Rwangabo P, et al. Screening of hundred Rwandese medicinal plants for antimicrobial and antiviral properties. *Journal of ethnopharmacology*. 1995;46(1):31-47. [[View at Publisher](#)] [[DOI](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]

11. Abd-Alrahman SH, Salem-Bekhit MM, Elhalwagy ME. Chemical composition and antimicrobial activity of *Ziziphus jujuba* seeds extract. *Journal of Pure and Applied Microbiology*. 2013;7:379-85. [[View at Publisher](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]

12. Tanaka M, Misawa E, Ito Y, Habara N, Nomaguchi K, Yamada M, et al. Identification of five phytosterols from *Aloe vera* gel as anti-diabetic compounds. *Biological and Pharmaceutical Bulletin*. 2006;29(7):1418-22. [[DOI](#)] [[PMID](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]

13. Yazdani D, Rezaei M, Kianbakht S, Khosravani S. A Review on Different Aspects of *Aloe vera* L. *Journal of Medicinal Plants*. 2006;5(19):1-8. [[View at Publisher](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]

14. Mandrioli R, Mercolini L, Ferranti A, Fanali S, Raggi MA. Determination of aloe emodin in *Aloe vera* extracts and

commercial formulations by HPLC with tandem UV absorption and fluorescence detection. *Food Chemistry*. 2011;126(1):387-93. [[View at Publisher](#)] [[DOI](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]

15. Gupta VK, Malhotra S. Pharmacological attribute of *Aloe vera*: Revalidation through experimental and clinical studies. *Ayu*. 2012;33(2):193. [[DOI](#)] [[PMID](#)] [[PMCID](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]

16. Kambizi LG, Goosen BM, Taylor MB, Afolayan AJ. Anti-viral effects of aqueous extracts of *Aloe ferox* and *Withania somnifera* on herpes simplex virus type 1 in cell culture. *South African Journal of Science*. 2007 Oct;103(9):359-60. [[View at Publisher](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]

17. Gao S-H, Zhao G-X, Yang X-D, Xu L-L. Preparation and antimicrobial effect of aromatic, natural and bacteriostatic foot wash with skin care. *Zhongguo Zhong yao za zhi= Zhongguo zhongyao zazhi= China journal of Chinese materia medica*. 2013;38(12):2023-6. [[View at Publisher](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]

18. Lee KH, Kim JH, Lim DS, Kim CH. Anti-leukaemic and anti-mutagenic effects of Di (2-ethylhexyl) phthalate isolated from *Aloe vera* Linne. *Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology*. 2000;52(5):593-8. [[View at Publisher](#)] [[DOI](#)] [[PMID](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]

19. Herman A, Herman AP, Domagalska BW, Młynarczyk A. Essential oils and herbal extracts as antimicrobial agents in cosmetic emulsion. *Indian journal of microbiology*. 2013;53(2):232-7. [[View at Publisher](#)] [[DOI](#)] [[PMID](#)] [[PMCID](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]

20. Beger RD. A review of applications of metabolomics in cancer. *Metabolites*.

2013;3(3):552-74. [[View at Publisher](#)] [[DOI](#)] [[PMID](#)] [[PMCID](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]

21. Wikler M, Cockerill F, Craig W. Method for Dilution Antimicrobial Test for Bacteria that Grow Aerobically; Approved Standard. 2006. [[Google Scholar](#)]

22. Braydich-Stolle L, Hussain S, Schlager JJ, Hofmann M-C. In vitro cytotoxicity of nanoparticles in mammalian germline stem cells. *Toxicological sciences*. 2005;88(2):412-9. [[View at Publisher](#)] [[DOI](#)] [[PMID](#)] [[PMCID](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]

23. Christian P, Von der Kammer F, Baalousha M, Hofmann T. Nanoparticles: structure, properties, preparation and behaviour in environmental media. *Ecotoxicology*. 2008;17(5):326-43. [[View at Publisher](#)] [[DOI](#)] [[PMID](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]

24. Jafari-Sales A, Hossein-Nezhad P. Antimicrobial effects of *Rosmarinus officinalis* methanolic extract on *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Bacillus cereus*, *Escherichia coli* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in laboratory conditions. *Journal of Medicinal and Chemical Sciences*. 2020;3(2):103-8. [[View at Publisher](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]

25. Jafari-Sales A, Jafari B, Khaneshpour H, Pashazadeh M. Antibacterial Effect of Methanolic Extract of *Rosa damascena* on Standard Bacteria *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Bacillus cereus*, *Escherichia coli* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in Vitro. *International Journal of Nature and Life Sciences*. 2020;4(1):40-6. [[View at Publisher](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]

26. Jafari-Sales A, Jafari B, Sayyahi J, Zohoori-Bonab T. Evaluation of antibacterial activity of ethanolic extract of *malva neglecta* and *althaea officinalis* l. On antibiotic-resistant strains of *staphylococcus*

aureus. *J Biol Today World*. 2015;4(2):58-62. [[DOI](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]

27. Jafari Sales A, Shariat A. Synergistic Effects of Silver Nanoparticles with Ethanolic Extract of *Eucalyptus globules* on Standard Pathogenic Bacteria in Vitro. *Tabari Biomedical Student Research Journal*. 2020 Sep 10;2(3):13-21. [[DOI](#)]

28. Olaleye M, Bello-Michael C. Comparative antimicrobial activities of Aloe vera gel and leaf. *African journal of biotechnology*. 2005;4(12).

29. Abraham O, Odiba P, Achumu L, Upu O, Yahaya O, Miachi O, et al. Antimicrobial properties of Aloe Vera juice on the growth of *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Journal of Applied Science and the Environment*. 2012;3:1-4.

30. George D, Bhat SS, Antony B. Comparative evaluation of the antimicrobial efficacy of Aloe vera tooth gel and two popular commercial toothpastes: An in vitro study. *Gen Dent*. 2009;57(3):238-41.

31. Martinez M, Betancourt J, Alonso-Gonzalez N, Jauregui A. Screening of some Cuban medicinal plants for antimicrobial activity. *Journal of ethnopharmacology*. 1996;52(3):171-4. [[DOI](#)]

32. Goudarzi M, Fazeli M, Azad M, Seyedjavadi SS, Mousavi R. Aloe vera gel: effective therapeutic agent against multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates recovered from burn wound infections. *Chemotherapy Research and Practice*. 2015;2015. [[DOI](#)] [[PMID](#)] [[PMCID](#)]

33. Sadrnia M, Arjomandzadegan M. Comparative study on the effects of Aloe vera extract in clinical strains of *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Klebsiella*,

Staphylococcus epidermidis and Escherichia coli compared to antibiotics of choice. Journal of Arak University of Medical Sciences. 2014;17(6):39-46.

34. Anderl JN, Franklin MJ, Stewart PS. Role of antibiotic penetration limitation in Klebsiella pneumoniae biofilm resistance to ampicillin and ciprofloxacin. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy. 2000;44(7):1818. [DOI] [PMID] [PMCID]

35. Irshad S, Butt M. In-vitro antibacterial activity of Aloe barbadensis Miller (Aloe vera). 2011;1(60-65).

36. Ibrahim M, Srinivas M, Narasu ML. Phytochemical analysis and antimicrobial evaluation of Aloe vera gel against some human and plant pathogens. Asian Journal of Current Chemistry. 2011;1(1):1-11.

37. Pandey R, Mishra A. Antibacterial activities of crude extract of Aloe barbadensis to clinically isolated bacterial pathogens. Applied biochemistry and biotechnology. 2010;160(5):1356-61. [DOI] [PMID]

38. Asadi M, Khosravi-Darani K, Mortazavi A, Hajseyed Javadi N, Azadnia E, Kiani Harchegani A, et al. Antimicrobial effect of silver nanoparticles produced by chemical reduction on Staphylococcus aureus and Escherichia coli. Iranian Journal of Nutrition Sciences & Food Technology. 2014;8(4):83-92.

39. Cho K-H, Park J-E, Osaka T, Park S-G. The study of antimicrobial activity and preservative effects of nanosilver ingredient.

Electrochimica Acta. 2005;51(5):956-60. [DOI]

40. Kim J-S. Antibacterial activity of Ag⁺ ion-containing silver nanoparticles prepared using the alcohol reduction method. Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry. 2007;13(5):718-22.

41. Feng QL, Wu J, Chen GQ, Cui F, Kim T, Kim J. A mechanistic study of the antibacterial effect of silver ions on Escherichia coli and Staphylococcus aureus. Journal of biomedical materials research. 2000;52(4):662-8. [https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-4636\(20001215\)52:4<662::AID-JBM10>3.0.CO;2-3](https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-4636(20001215)52:4<662::AID-JBM10>3.0.CO;2-3) [DOI]

42. Pal S, Tak YK, Song JM. Does the antibacterial activity of silver nanoparticles depend on the shape of the nanoparticle? A study of the gram-negative bacterium Escherichia coli. Applied and environmental microbiology. 2007;73(6):1712. [DOI] [PMID] [PMCID]

43. Ruparelia J, Duttgupta S, Chatterjee A, Mukherji S, editors. A comparative study on disinfection potential of nanosilver and nanonickel. Technical poster. Proceedings of the 9th Annual Conference of the Indian Environmental Association (Envirovision-2006), entitled "Advances in Environmental Management and Technology", Goa, India; 2006.

44. Klasen H. A historical review of the use of silver in the treatment of burns. II. Renewed interest for silver. Burns. 2000;26(2):131-8. [DOI]

How to Cite: jafari-Sales A, Meshinchi P, Shabkhosh A. In Vitro Antibacterial Activity of Ethanolic Extract of Aloe Vera and Silver Nanoparticles on Standard Strains of Some Pathogenic Bacteria. Journal of Clinical and Basic Research. 2021; 5 (4) :22-30