Scientometric Analysis of Iranian Scientific Productions in the Field of Ophthalmology

Leila Rezaei¹, Masoud Mohammadi²

1. Emam Khomeini Eye Research Center, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran
2. Golestan Research Center of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Golestan University of Medical Sciences, Gorgan, Iran

ABSTRACT

Background and objectives: Evaluation of scientific productions in different fields using scientometric methods is indispensable for determining scientific trends. The purpose of this study was to perform a scientometric evaluation of Iran’s scientific productions in the field of ophthalmology.

Methods: We investigated all scientific papers in the field of ophthalmology that have been indexed in the Web of Science from 2000 to the end of 2018. The VOSviewer software (version 1.6.9) was used to draw bibliometric maps considering a threshold of 20 times for the keywords used in this field of medicine.

Results: Iran ranked 19th in the world in terms of scientific production on ophthalmology. H-Index of documents written by Iranian authors was 38, with an average citation per item of 7.13. Overall, the documents had received 7262 citations, 6.2% of which were self-citation. We observed that the rate of scientific production has grown dramatically since 2014. Tehran University of Medical Sciences (37.19%) was the most prolific organization in Iran and Seyyed Hassan Ghazizadeh Hashemi (8.63%) was the most prolific Iranian author in this field. All keywords retrieved from the documents on ophthalmology were analyzed, and an ophthalmologist separated the keywords into five clusters. The most frequently used keywords in ophthalmology-related papers belonged to the clusters of Treatment of Retinal Diseases and Glaucoma with 49 appearances.

Conclusion: Our results show that the growth of scientific productions in the field of ophthalmology in Iran and its contribution to the global science production is favorable. However, Iranian authors are recommended to pay more attention to selection of appropriate journals for publishing their scientific papers since publishing in prestigious journals with a high impact factor subsequently increases visibility and citation rates.
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**INTRODUCTION**

In recent years, there has been much interest in the use of bibliographic information for evaluation of research activities, which is an important tool for achieving the standards of research performance (1). In this regard, the evaluation of scientific productions in various fields have been emphasized for numerous reasons (2) including the possibility of knowing the status quo and comparing different subject areas (3). Amount of scientific production is an indicator of a country’s development. In addition, evaluating the status of scientific productions and the progress made in a subject area gives us a comprehensive picture of scientific activities along with their weaknesses and strengths (4). Studying scientific networks is one of the most important aspects of science assessment studies. Not only education and research in the field of medicine is costly, but they also directly affect the health of humans; thus, design and direction of research activities in this field of science requires special planning. Such planning is not possible without a complete understanding of the framework of this field or previous research. In this regard, scientometric studies, particularly science mapping can provide an overview of the status of published papers and reveal the relationship between medical subfields and the most influential subject areas (5). Assessing various aspects of medical research can be effective in directing future research, planning for balanced development in different medical subfields, allocating optimal budget and facilities, and ultimately improving the quantity and quality of medical scientific productions. Although ophthalmology is one of the most important areas of medicine, no study has yet assessed scientific production in this field at the international level. In this study, we conducted a scientometric analysis of Iran’s scientific productions in the field of ophthalmology between 2000 and 2018.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

This scientometric study investigated Iran’s scientific productions in the field of ophthalmology from 2000 to the end of 2018, based on the data available on the Web of Science database. The search query was made on December 24, 2018. All five databases of Web of Science comprising Science Citation Index Expanded (SCI-EXPANDED), Social Sciences Citation Index (SSCI), Conference Proceedings Citation Index-Science (CPCI-S), Conference Proceedings Citation Index-Social Science & Humanities (CPCI-SSH) and Emerging Sources Citation Index (ESCI) were included. To retrieve all relevant documents, the following search strategy was used:

**TOPIC:** (Eye Diseases OR Ophthalmolog* OR Orthoptics).

The VOSviewer software (version 1.6.9), developed by the Leiden University, was used to draw bibliometric maps, considering a threshold of 20 times for each keyword.

**RESULTS**

We found 76661 documents related to ophthalmology on the Web of Science, 1019 (1.32%) of which were written by Iranian authors. Iran ranked 19th in the world in terms of scientific production in the field of ophthalmology (Table 1). H-Index of the documents written by Iranian authors was 38, with an average citation per item of 7.13. Overall, the documents had received 7262 citations, 6.2% of which were self-citation.
Table 1. Ranking of countries based on the number of documents in the field of ophthalmology on the Web of Science between 2000 and 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Number of Publications</th>
<th>% of total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>25,120</td>
<td>32.768 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>6,408</td>
<td>8.437 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>England</td>
<td>6,389</td>
<td>8.073 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>4,502</td>
<td>5.920 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>3,725</td>
<td>4.859 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>3,472</td>
<td>4.529 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>3,383</td>
<td>4.413 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>3,207</td>
<td>4.183 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>2,878</td>
<td>3.754 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>2,640</td>
<td>3.444 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>2,218</td>
<td>2.893 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>2,204</td>
<td>2.875 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>2,044</td>
<td>2.602 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>1,995</td>
<td>2.295 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>1,759</td>
<td>2.150 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>1,648</td>
<td>1.941 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>1,488</td>
<td>1.492 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>1,144</td>
<td>1.329 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>1,019</td>
<td>1.329 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As shown in figure 1, Iran's scientific production in the field of ophthalmology has been rising dramatically since 2014.

Figure 1. Trend of science production in the field of ophthalmology by Iranian researchers based on the number of documents indexed in the Web of Science between 2000 and 2018

The University of California was the most prolific organization in the world by publishing 3.59% of the total publications on ophthalmology. In Iran, Tehran University of Medical Sciences was the most prolific organization by publishing 37.19% of the total Iranian publications on ophthalmology (Table 2).
Table 2. Top 10 countries based on the number of publications on the Web of Science between 2000 and 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>International</th>
<th>Record Count</th>
<th>% of 76,661</th>
<th>Iran</th>
<th>Record Count</th>
<th>% of % of 1,019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>University of California System</td>
<td>2,752</td>
<td>3.590 %</td>
<td>Tehran University of Medical Sciences</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>37.193 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of London</td>
<td>2,606</td>
<td>3.399 %</td>
<td>Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>19.627 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvard University</td>
<td>2,150</td>
<td>2.805 %</td>
<td>Mashhad University of Medical Science</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>11.089 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University College London</td>
<td>2,031</td>
<td>2.649 %</td>
<td>Iran University of Medical Sciences</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>10.893 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johns Hopkins University</td>
<td>1,783</td>
<td>2.326 %</td>
<td>Noor Eye Hospital</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>8.636 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Institutes Of Health (NIH) USA</td>
<td>1,356</td>
<td>1.769 %</td>
<td>Shiraz University of Medical Science</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>7.655 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA Boston Healthcare System</td>
<td>1,294</td>
<td>1.688 %</td>
<td>Isfahan University Medical Science</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>6.379 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moorfields Eye Hospital NHS Foundation Trust</td>
<td>1,269</td>
<td>1.655 %</td>
<td>Islamic Azad University</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>6.183 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National University of Singapore</td>
<td>1,173</td>
<td>1.530 %</td>
<td>University of Tehran</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>4.416 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Melbourne</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>1.435 %</td>
<td>Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences (BMSU)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>3.827 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On the global scale, Wong TY was the most prolific author in the field of ophthalmology. Moreover, the most prolific author in Iran was Seyyed Hassan Ghazizadeh Hashemi who ranked 64th in the world based on the number of publications (Table 3).

Table 3. Top 10 most prolific authors based on the number of publications on the Web of Science between 2000 and 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>International</th>
<th>Record Count</th>
<th>% of 76,661</th>
<th>Iran</th>
<th>Record Count</th>
<th>% of 1,019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wong TY</td>
<td>589</td>
<td>0.768 %</td>
<td>Hashemi H</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>8.636 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The papers published in Ophthalmology journal and Investigative Ophthalmology Visual Science were cited the most by Iranian authors (Table 4).

Table 4. Top 10 ophthalmology journals based on the number of publications on Web of Science between 2000 and 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>International</th>
<th>Iran</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Source Titles</td>
<td>Record Count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ophthalmology [impact factor (IF)=7.48, Q=1]</td>
<td>5,332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(ESCI¹)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(IF=3.38, Q=1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Journal of Cataract and Refractive Surgery</td>
<td>1,701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(IF= 2.68, Q=2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Emerging Sources Citation Index
The purpose of keyword analysis is to discover trends and topics of interest. We used the VOSviewer software (version 1.6.9) to analyze all keywords retrieved from the documents related to the field of ophthalmology. An ophthalmologist separated the keywords used in the papers into five clusters (Table 5 and Figure 2). The placement of keywords in each cluster and the distance of the nodes were based on their co-occurrence (an occasion when two or more similar keywords are used at the same time). The size of the circle in each cluster represents the volume of productions in that subject. The most frequently used keywords in ophthalmology-related papers belonged to the Treatment of Retinal Diseases and Glaucoma clusters with 49 appearances.
Table 5. The five clusters created based on the analysis of keywords used in ophthalmology documents on the Web of Science between 2000 and 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clusters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treatment of Retinal Diseases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avastin 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bevacizumab 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choroidal neovascularization 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic petinollathy 32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endothelial growth-fact 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertention 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intravitreal injection 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macular edema 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retina 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinopathy 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinopathy of prematurity 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corneal Diseases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bevacizumab 10, Cornea 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choroidal neovascularization 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes 17, Inflamation 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic petinollathy 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endothelial growth-fact 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertention 12, Penetrating keratoplasty 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intravitreal injection 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macular edema 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retina 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinopathy 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinopathy of prematurity 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnostic Evaluation of Keratoconus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avastin, Central corneal thickness 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choroidal neovascularization, In-situ keratomileusis 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes, Inflammation 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endothelial growth-fact, Ocular surface 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertention, Penetrating keratoplasty 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intravitreal injection, Photorefractive keratectomy 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macular edema, Thickness 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retina, Topography 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinopathy, Cataract Management 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Types of Refractive Eye Defects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bevacizumab 10, Central corneal thickness 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes, In-situ keratomileusis 21, Intraocular pressure 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endothelial growth-fact, Ocular surface 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertention, Penetrating keratoplasty 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intravitreal injection, Photorefractive keratectomy 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macular edema, Thickness 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retina, Topography 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinopathy, Cataract Management 18, Amblyopia 12, Amblyopia 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cataract Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avastin 18, Central corneal thickness 12, Amblyopia 12, Cataract 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bevacizumab 10, Central corneal thickness 12, Amblyopia 12, Cataract 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choroidal neovascularization, In-situ keratomileusis 21, Amblyopia 12,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes, Inflammation, Amblyopia 12, Amblyopia 12, Amblyopia 23, Catar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endothelial growth-fact, Ocular surface 16, Amblyopia 23, Cataract 39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertention, Penetrating keratoplasty 12, Amblyopia 12, Cataract 49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intravitreal injection, Photorefractive keratectomy, Amblyopia 12, Cat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macular edema, Thickness, Amblyopia 12, Amblyopia, Amblyopia 15, Catarac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retina, Topography, Amblyopia 15, Amblyopia, Amblyopia 20, Cataract 59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinopathy, Cataract Management, Amblyopia, Amblyopia, Amblyopia, Amb</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Keyword</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Keyword</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Keyword</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Keyword</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Keyword</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Avastin</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Cornea</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Amblyopia</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Cataract</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bevacizumab</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Dry eye</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Glaucoma</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Astigmatism</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Cataract surgery</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choroidal neovascularization</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>In-situ keratomileusis</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Intraocular pressure</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Blindness</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Management</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Inflammation</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Keratoconus</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>Lens</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Ophthalmology</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic petinollathy</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Keratitis</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Open angle glaucoma</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Myopia</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Phacoemulsification</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endothelial growth-fact</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Ocular surface</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Optical coherence tomography</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Vision</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Uveitis</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertention</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Penetrating keratoplasty</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Oxidative stress</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Vitrectomy</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intravitreal injection</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Photorefractive keratectomy</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Pentacam</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macular edema</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Thickness</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retina</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Topography</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinopathy</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinopathy of prematurity</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2. Visualization of the five clusters created based on the analysis of keywords used in ophthalmology-related documents indexed in the Web of Science between 2000 and 2018.

Figure 3 shows the appearance of the keywords used in ophthalmology documents over time. The map illustrates the subject trend and thematic tendencies of Iranian researchers in the field of ophthalmology.

Figure 3. Appearance of keywords in the five clusters formed based on analysis of keywords used in ophthalmology documents indexed in the Web of Science. Keywords in blue are used in older documents, while those in yellow appeared more recently. A greater distance between two keywords means less co-occurrence between the two keywords.
DISCUSSION

By producing 1,019 scientific documents, Iran currently ranks 19th in the world and sixth in Asia in terms of scientific production in the field of ophthalmology. Another study reported Iran as the 26th largest producer of scientific papers in the field of traditional medicine in the world (3). In the field of medical ethics, papers written by Iranian authors accounted for only 1% of the total scientific productions in the world (6). According to another study, in the field of orthopedics, Iran ranked 34th in the world and 8th in Asia after Japan, South Korea, Taiwan, China, India, Hong Kong and Singapore (7).

Our results also demonstrated that the United States, Germany and the United Kingdom are the largest producers of scientific papers in the field of ophthalmology. Similarly, in the field of medical ethics, the United States, England, Canada and Germany are the top producers of scientific papers (6). Some of these countries are also amongst the largest producers of scientific papers on patient rights (4) and orthopedics (7).

The growing trend of publishing scientific papers on ophthalmology first started in 2006 and continued to rise more significantly since 2014. Similar trends have been observed in studies on other subfields of medicine (1, 3, 4, 6, 7).

In Iran, the Tehran University of Medical Science contributed the most to production of scientific papers in the field of ophthalmology (37% of total publications). In Iran, this university is also leading in production of scientific papers in other subfields of medicine (1, 3, 7).

We found that 12.75% of articles written by Iranian authors have been published in the Journal of Current Ophthalmology, which is indexed in ESCI and has no IF. Given the direct association between publishing in journals with a high IF and citation rates, these statistics indicate the undesirable quality of research papers written by Iranian authors in the field of ophthalmology. On contrary, we found that most of the world’s publications have been published in journals with a high IF.

Our results showed that during the study period, H-Index of documents authored by Iranian scientists was 38, with an average citation rate of 7.13. In another study, H-index of the Iranian scientific productions on orthopedics was 17 (7). Furthermore, the H-Index of research papers on cardiovascular disease, cancer, diabetes, chronic respiratory disease and exosome research is reported to be 77, 150, 87, 36 and 74, respectively (8, 9).

Overall, the documents had received 7262 citations, 6.2% of which were self-citation. Considering that the average level of self-citation is up to 20% (10), the rate of self-citation in the field of ophthalmology is favorable.

CONCLUSION

The scientometric analysis showed that the growth of scientific productions in the field of ophthalmology in Iran and its contribution to the global science production is favorable. However, Iranian authors are recommended to pay more attention to selection of appropriate journals for publishing their scientific papers since publishing in prestigious journals with a high IF subsequently increases visibility and citation rates. In this regard, we provided a list of top prolific journals in the field of ophthalmology, which could be beneficial for Iranian researchers. The analysis of the keywords used in the relevant documents could also provide valuable information for researchers when designing future research.
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